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## Phase I: Application of Machine Learning and Big Data

## Machine Learning

- Machine Learning is a subset of Al that focuses on learning rules from data.



## Machine Learning

- Type of machine learning:
- Supervised:
- Predicting outputs based on inputs
- Ex: Regression and Classification
- Unsupervised:
- Reveal hidden structure in the data.
- Ex: Clustering


## Well Logging Machine Learning Example

- Data set
-Carbonate gas reservoir
-Eight wells
- Seven logs predictor variables
- GR, Resistivity, PE, Neutron density porosity, average Neutron density porosity.
- Non-marine indicator and relative position


## Well Logging Machine Learning Example

## -Nine discrete rock facies:

- Sandstone
- Coarse siltstone
- Fine siltstone
- Siltstone and shale
- Mudstone
- Wakestone
- Dolomite
- Packstone
- Bafflestone



## Well Logging Machine Learning Example



## Well Logging Machine Learning Example

- Seven training wells
- Total points: 3232
- 20\% test set
- One blind test well
- Extract feature variables
- GR, Resistivity, PE, Neutron density porosity, average Neutron density porosity.



## Well Logging project Example

-Models used:

- Support Vector Machines
- Model Parameter Selection
- Gamma $=50$, and $C=50$

| Precision | Recall | F1-score | Support |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.75 | 0.86 | 16 |
| 0.79 | 0.93 | 0.86 | 29 |
| 0.77 | 0.71 | 0.74 | 14 |
| 0.43 | 0.43 | 0.43 | 7 |
| 0.67 | 0.44 | 0.53 | 18 |
| 0.62 | 0.7 | 0.65 | 23 |
| 1 | 0.33 | 0.5 | 3 |
| 0.59 | 0.7 | 0.64 | 23 |
| 0.88 | 1 | 0.93 | 7 |
| 0.73 | 0.71 | 0.71 | 140 |



## Well Logging project Example

-Models used:
-K nearest Neighbor
-F1-Score: 0.43
-Random Forrest Classifier

- F1-Score: 0.43


## Well Logging project Example

- Applying the classification model to the blind data
- Now that we have a trained facies classification model we can use it to identify facies in wells that do not have core data.



## Diagnostic Fracture Injection Tests

- A short injection/falloff diagnostic test performed without proppant before a main fracture stimulation treatment
- The intent is to break down the formation to create a short fracture during the injection period, and then to observe closure of the fracture system during the falloff period.


## Diagnostic Fracture Injection Tests



## Diagnostic Fracture Injection Tests: Problem Statement
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## Diagnostic Fracture Injection Tests: ML Approach

Attributes/Features
Bulk Modulus

## Poisson Ratio

Tensile stress
Formation height
Leak-off Coefficient
Matrix Permeability
Perf. Diameter
Perf. No.
Duration of Injection Others??

Answers
Data
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

> Pressure Fall-off time series

Predictive model

## Diagnostic Fracture Injection Tests: ML Approach

-Current Data
-7 wells

- 3.5 millions rows of attributes
-SQL Database
- Easy access for data
- Robust mathematical operations
- Because it's a ML approach, the more data we get, the more certain we are. And the lower the uncertainty, the more we trust our model.


## Attributes

Bulk Modulus
Poisson Ratio
Tensile stress
Formation height
Leak-off Coefficient
Matrix Permeability
Perf. Diameter
Perf. No.
Duration of Injection


```
Falloff
    points
```
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# Phase II: Computational Modeling of Diagnostic Fracture Injection Tests in Discrete Complex 

 Fracture Network.
## Diagnostic Fracture Injection Tests

## - Objective

- Develop a DFIT simulator to match and predict the pressure falloff after shut in.
- Focus on the potential effect of fracture network complexity for DFIT.
- Long term production forecasting.


## Diagnostic Fracture Injection Tests

- Model description
- Couples the fluid flow with stress induced by fracture deformation in complex 2D discrete fracture network.
- Single phase
- Isothermal
- Model involves:
> Opening \& propagation of new fracture
$>$ Sliding of preexisting fracturing
>Combination of both
>Fracture closure



## Diagnostic Fracture Injection Tests

## - Numerical methods to calculate stresses:

As the size and complexity of the fracture network increases, the challenge of geomechnical discrete fracture modeling grows considerably.

- Infinite element method
- Finite difference
- Require discretization of the area (2D) around the fractures. Lead to a very large number of elements for complex networks.
- Boundary element
- Avoid the need to discretize around fractures. Require solution of dense matrices.
- Extended finite Element Method:
- Powerful technique for hydraulic fracturing modeling. New and have not been demonstrated on complex modeling.
- Others ?


## Diagnostic Fracture Injection Tests

- Rules:
-Fluid-flow equations
- Stress Calculations
- Generating DFN



## Diagnostic Fracture Injection Tests

- Rules:
- Fluid-flow equations
- Unsteady-state fluid mass balance equation in fracture (Aziz and Settari 1979)

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{\partial(\rho E)}{\partial t}=\nabla \cdot\left(q_{f l u x} e\right)-q_{\text {leakoff }}+S_{a} \\
q_{f l u x}=\frac{k \rho}{\mu} \frac{\partial P}{\partial x_{i}} \\
T=k e=\frac{e^{3}}{12}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Diagnostic Fracture Injection Tests

-Rules:

- Apertures calculations
- Closed-fracture elements (Willis-Richards et al. 1996)

$$
E=\frac{\mathrm{E}_{0}}{1+9 \frac{\sigma_{n}^{\prime}}{\sigma_{n, E r e f}}}
$$

- Hydraulic aperture

$$
e=\frac{\varphi_{\text {edil }}}{1+9 \frac{\sigma_{n}^{\prime}}{\sigma_{n, \text { eref }}}}+D_{\text {eff }} \tan \left(\frac{\sigma_{n}^{\prime}}{1+9 \frac{e_{n, \text { eref }}}{\sigma_{0}}}\right.
$$

- Open fracture elements

$$
\begin{gathered}
E=E_{0}+E_{\text {open }} \\
E e=e_{0}+D_{\text {eff }} \tan \left(\varphi_{\text {edil }}\right)+E_{\text {open }}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Diagnostic Fracture Injection Tests

- Rules:
- Stress calculations

At each element the stress is specified by three components: $\sigma_{n}, \tau_{s}$ and $\tau_{d}$

Effective normal stress must be equal to zero

$$
\sigma_{n}^{r}-P+\Delta \sigma_{n}=0
$$

## Diagnostic Fracture Injection Tests

- Data:
- Bulk modulus
- Poisson ratio
- Height
- Perf. Diameter
- Duration of injection
- Leak-off coefficient
- Tensile stress
- Fluid viscosity
- Matrix perm
- Perf. No
- Injection Schedule

> Traditional
> Programing

Answers

## Diagnostic Fracture Injection Tests

- Answers/Output:
- Pressure Fall-off time series
- G-function Plot


Rules $\longrightarrow$
Data $\longrightarrow$
$\begin{gathered}\text { Traditional } \\ \text { Programing }\end{gathered}$$\longrightarrow$ Answers
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## Phase III: Model Check
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## THANKS

## Questions?

